

# Planning Team Report

Proposed heritage lis	sting of Exley House, 27 Finla	y Road, Warrawee	
Proposal Title :	Proposed heritage listing of Exle	ey House, 27 Finlay Road, W	arrawee
Proposal Summary :	Proposed heritage listing of "Ex in Ku-ring-gai Local Environmer		Varrawee, as a local heritage item
PP Number :	PP_2016_KURIN_004_00	Dop File No	16/05378
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	21-Apr-2016	LGA covered :	Ku-Ring-Gai
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	Ku-ring-gai Council
State Electorate :	KU-RING-GAI	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping		c
Location Details			
Street : 27	Finlay Road		
Suburb : Wa	rrawee City :		Postcode : 2074
Land Parcel : Lot	5B DP 978020		-
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Mark Dennett		
Contact Number :	0298601534		
Contact Email :	mark.dennett@planning.nsw.gov.	au	
RPA Contact Detai	ils		
Contact Name :	Alexandra Plumb		
Contact Number :	0294240795		
Contact Email :	aplumb@kmc.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Terry Doran		
Contact Number :	0298601579		12
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.au	I	
Land Release Data	ı		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North subregion	Consistent with Strategy	N/A

Page 1 of 7

#### Proposed heritage listing of Exley House, 27 Finlay Road, Warrawee

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0

The NSW Government Yes Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :

If No, comment :

Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :

If Yes, comment :

#### Supporting notes

Internal Supporting Notes : The proposal does not seek to rezone or amend any development standards, and only seeks to heritage list 27 Finlay Road as a local heritage item.

Prior to the receipt of the planning proposal, the Department received correspondence from representatives of the landowner at 27 Finlay Rd (dated 16 March 2016), objecting to the proposal to heritage list the property at this time.

The landowner is seeking subdivision of the site and has requested the Department to delay or refuse the issuing of any Gateway Determination until a development application has been lodged with Council and subsequent approval is given for the subdivision of the land. The representatives had also written to OEH regarding the proposal.

At the time the Department received the planning proposal from Ku-ring-gai Council (6 April 2016), no comment from OEH Heritage Division had been provided. Council forwarded a letter from OEH Heritage Division (dated 8 April 2016) that addresses both the proposal and the correspondence from the representatives of the landowner.

The receipt date of the PP has been amended to 21 April 2016 as the Department received a copy of the OEH correspondance from Council. OEH supports local heritage listing, as per the planning proposal.

On consideration of the above correspondence and assessment, it is considered the proposal provides all necessary information to proceed with a Gateway determination.

The Department is required to take action in a timely manner and therefore it would be inappropriate to delay the process in this case.

The Gateway determination will require public exhibition of a minimum 14 days. This will allow the community and the landowner to provide their views to Council for further consideration.

This will also provide a further opportunity for the landowner and Council to reconsider the appropriateness of the proposed curtilage prior to any finalisation of the proposal.

External Supporting Notes :

27 Apr 2016 02:08 pm

#### Adequacy Assessment

### Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective of the planning proposal is to list 27 Finlay Road, Warrawee (Lot 5B DP 978020) as an item of local heritage significance in the Ku-ring-gai Local Environmental Plan 2015.

# Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to amend Schedule 5 'Environmental Heritage' of the Ku-ring-gai Local Environmental Plan 2015 written instrument by inserting the following details under each heading:

Suburb: Warrawee Item name: "Exley House" Dwelling House and Interior Address: 27 Finlay Road Property Description: Lot 5B DP 978020 Significance: Local Item no: To be confirmed

The planning proposal also seeks to amend Heritage Map Sheet HER\_007 by colouring the subject property so as to indicate 'Heritage Item - General'

## Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones 3.3 Home Occupations
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have th	ne RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005 SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997) SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
e) List any other	SECTION 117 DIRE	CTIONS.
matters that need to be considered :	The planning prop	osal is consistent with all relevant Section 117 Directions.
	SEPPS and Deeme	d SEPPS
	The planning prop	osal is consistent with all relevant SEPPs and Deemed SEPPs.
Have inconsistencies wi	th items a), b) and d) bei	ng adequately justified? N/A
If No, explain :		

## Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The proposal clearly shows the proposed location and heritage listing of the subject property.

However the proposal does not show the existing non-heritage status of the subject property. Prior to any public exhibition, the proposal is to include a map to show the existing non-heritage status of the subject property.

Prior to any gazettal of the plan, an appropriate heritage label number will need to be added to heritage map corresponding with Schedule 5 of the written instrument.

## Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment: The proposal recommends a 28 day exhibition period. However it is noted the proposal is classed as low impact as described in 'A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013)' and should be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days.

## Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

#### Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : March 2015

Comments in relation The proposal is to amend Ku-ring-gai Local Environmental Plan 2015. to Principal LEP :

# **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	The proposal states the site has been assessed as satisfying the NSW Heritage Council's Criteria for local heritage significance and the proposal is the best means of achieving the objective to conserve and protect the site's heritage value.
Consistency with	METROPOLITAN PLAN - A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY
strategic planning framework :	The proposal is considered consistent with the Metropolitan Plan.
	The proposal is of relative minor significance in regards to the Plan, and will not adversely
	affect or impact on any aspect of the Plan in achieving its goals.
	KU-RING-GAI COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN (CSP) - 2030.
	The proposal is considered consistent with the local Community Strategic Plan,
	specifically in regard to the CSP's commitment to protect and promote heritage in the
	local government area.

 Environmental social
 ENVIRONMENTAL

 economic impacts :
 There are no anticipated environmental effects as a result of the local heritage listing of the property.

SOCIAL

The proposal states the heritage listing will have positive social benefits in recognising the local cultural and environmental heritage significance of the site.

ECONOMIC

The reason for the listing originated from the advertised sale of the property and land as a potential knock down and rebuild. An interim heritage order was placed on the subject site in October 2015 to halt any demolition/redevelopment of the site.

The heritage listing will ensure additional heads of consideration apply to proposed development of the site, enabling appropriate consideration by Council.

# **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Routine		ommunity Consultation eriod :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	D	elegation	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Other				
Is Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons	:				
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : <b>No</b>				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional	studies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reaso	ns :				
Identify any internal co	nsultations, if required	:			
No internal consultati	on required				
Is the provision and fur	nding of state infrastruc	ture relevant to t	his plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
Documents					
Document File Name			DocumentType N	lame	Is Public
Signed Letter to Depa gateway determinatio	n for Planning Propos	-	Proposal Coveri	ng Letter	Yes
heritage list 27 Finlay Combined Planning P Finlay Road Warrawe	roposal - Sent for Gat	•	Proposal		Yes

Page 5 of 7

OEH Response to have locally listed.pdf	No 27 Finlay Road Warrawee Proposal Yes
nning Team Recomm	nendation
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions
S.117 directions:	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.3 Home Occupations
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Additional Information :	GATEWAY DETERMINATION
	* The proposal is a minor matter of local planning significance.
	* The recommendation is consistent with the planning proposal submitted by Council.
	* The Office of Environment and Heritage (Heritage Division) raises no
	objections to the inclusion of an additional item of heritage significance, as
	the listing will provide a link between past, present and future generations.
	As a consequence it is considered concentrate that the Osterway determination function
	As a consequence, it is considered appropriate that the Gateway determination function be exercised by the Director, Sydney Region West.
	AGENCY CONSULTATION
	In view of the letter of support from the Office of Environment and Heritage (Heritage
	Division), no agency consultation is recommended.
	DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTION
	Council has requested it exercise the plan making function in relation to this planning
	proposal. Authorisation for Council to exercise the plan making function is supported and
	recommended.
85 85	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS
< c	The proposal is consistent with all relevant Section 117 Directions.
	GATEWAY RECOMMENDATION AND CONDITIONS It is recommended that the planning proposal proceeds, subject to the following
	conditions:
2	
	1. Prior to community consultation, Council is to amend the planning proposal to
	include a heritage map that shows the existing status of the subject site as
	a non-heritage listing item.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the
25	Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice
	requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the
	specifications for material that must be made publicly available along
	with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A guide to
	preparing local environmental plans (Planning and Infrastructure, 2013)'.
	3. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)
	(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it
	may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a
	submission or if reclassifying land).
	A The time frame for a smalleting the LED is to be 6 months from the mostly
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 6 months from the week for following the date of the Gateway determination.

27 Apr 2016 02:08 pm

84

Supporting Reasons :	The heritage listing of 27 Finlay Road, Warrawee, will assist in protecting and the heritage qualities of the building and its curtilage.	
	Alpal	21
Signature:	Santan	
	TORAN	27/4/16
Printed Name:	DORAON Date:	27/4/